## Past Water Use & Lost Water

- Over 2000 year history of practiced irrigated agriculture in central AZ – from 1790s through 1860s large scale agricultural production
- Post-Civil War theft of Community's water causes a decline in agricultural production to subsistence levels, eventually resulting in widespread starvation and famine
- Loss of natural flow of Gila River was also culturally devastating
- In pursuing claims the Community had two primary goals:
  - Restore agricultural economy
  - Bring back the river

### Water Settlement

- After over 130 years of struggle, the Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2004 was signed into law, which included the Gila River Indian Community Water Settlement Act
- Settlement provided funding to refurbish existing irrigation infrastructure and construct new on-Reservation infrastructure to bring back traditional agricultural economy
- Settlement provides for a total annual water entitlement of 653,500 AF (about 213 billion gallons)
- Blended cost of water supposed to be around \$20-\$25/AF

## Water Settlement continued

- Although the settlement includes an entitlement to Gila River water, the natural flow of the river was not restored
- Colorado River water through CAP is supplemental water to Gila River water
- The Community has the single largest entitlement to Colorado River within the CAP system – annual entitlement is 311,800 AF
- Community's CAP water uses to date:
  - Direct use within Reservation
  - Lease & exchanges
  - ➢ Off-Reservation storage (e.g. GSFs)
  - ≻System conservation

# **Settlement Challenges & Actions**

#### Challenges:

- Rising cost of CAP water delivery charges
- Settlement did not return natural flow of the Gila River
- Unanticipated events

#### Actions:

- Created the Gila River Water Storage LLC to market stored water to help fund future water costs
- Adopted a 5 Year Water Plan that required the creation of a Permanent Water Fund
- On-Reservation infrastructure improvements to increase groundwater capacity and "return" parts of the Gila River

### **Proposed DCP & DCP+**

- Under DCP, if Lake Mead falls below 1075' AZ would cut back CAP supplies by over 500 KAF – an increase of nearly 200 KAF compared to 2007 Guidelines
- Cuts would eliminate Ag Pool water Central AZ agriculture would be severely impacted
- Cuts would also limit the Community's NIA CAP water, and reduce its ability to store with GSFs
- DCP+ is an AZ stakeholder proposal to reduce the risk of Lake Mead falling below 1075' through incentives:
  - Paid System Conservation
  - Intentionally Created Surplus

## **Community's Role**

Make large portion of CAP supply available over short-term for system conservation and ICS to reduce risk of DCP cuts

Increase groundwater capacity by developing recharge projects through partnerships with other AZ stakeholders

Continued involvement in water supply discussion

